



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/770,070	01/24/2001	Michael Lunsford	PALM-3238	7197
49637	7590	11/30/2006	EXAMINER	
BERRY & ASSOCIATES P.C. 9255 SUNSET BOULEVARD SUITE 810 LOS ANGELES, CA 90069				MEHROUR, NAGHMEH
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2617		

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/770,070	LUNSFORD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Naghmeh Mehrpour	2617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 April 2006.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 35-67 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 35-67 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_ .  
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  
 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. **Claims 35, 37-42, 45-48, 49-53, 56-64, 67,** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erekson (US Patent 6,622,018) in view of Ficco et al. (US Patent Number 2002/0035404 A1) in further view of Page et al. (US Patent 6,801,787 B1).

Regarding **claims 35, 46, 52, 57, 63,** Erekson teaches a personal digital assistant 10-70/system (see figure 2, col 5 lines 20-31, lines 37-41) and comprising:

- a processor (see figure 1, 101);
- a memory unit to store instruction for the processor (see figure 2, 103/102);
- a wireless communications device to wirelessly transmit a control signal (see figure 2, 103/102);
- a display device (see figure 2, 105); and

a bus 110 coupled to the processor, the memory unit 103/102, the wireless communications devices, and the display device 105 to communicate the information (col 5 lines 37-50), wherein;

instructions for displaying a device menu, via the display device, to permit a user to enter data to the device menu for controlling operation of a external device, the operation of the external device to be controlled (col 6 lines 5-9, col 9 lines 7-17);

instructions for wirelessly transmit via the wireless communication device, at a first time corresponding to the time data , a control signal to cause the external device to perform a first action, the first time indicated by the internal clock (col 6 lines 10-20, col 7 lines 10-21). Erekson teaches a stylus display device 105 which a common can be selected from a menu of commands displayed on display device 105. The command is transmitted to the remote device over a wireless connection using receiver/transmitter device (col 6 lines 5-20), and a rendering of a mechanism that can be used to control the remote device, such as an on/off switch. Erekson fails to teach instructions for displaying a device schedule menu, via the display device, to permit a user to enter data to the device schedule menu for controlling operation of a external device, the operation of the external device to be controlled in accordance with time data directly entered via the device schedule menu. However, Ficco teaches instructions for displaying a device schedule menu, via the display device, to permit a user to enter data to the device schedule menu for controlling operation of a external device, the operation of the external device to be controlled in accordance with time data directly entered via the device schedule menu (0109, 0112, 0116, 0123, 0124, 0131). Therefore, it would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the above teaching of Ficco with Erekson system, in order to provide suitable command instructions to secure of turn various device on certain time. Erekson modified by Ficco fails to teach **an internal clock integrated within the personal digital assistant, and indicated by the internal clock**. However, Page teaches **an internal clock integrated within the personal digital assistant, and indicated by the internal clock (col 6 lines 25-35)**. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the above teaching of Page with Erekson modified by Ficco system, in order to provide flexibility to choose the type of display, the speed and power of the processor, the size of memory or other features of the functions of the controller module that are not typically directed to the transceiver

Regarding **claim 36** Erekson teaches a computing device wherein personal digital assistant is further configured to receive, via the wireless communications device, a signal from the **external** device receiving the control signal from the personal digital assistant (col 6 lines 5-20, lines 37-40).

Regarding **claims 37, 48, 59**, Erekson teaches a Personal Digital Assistant /system/ machine readable medium that control external devices (col 5 lines 20-31, lines 37-41). Erekson fails to teach a device wherein the signal via the wireless communication device is an acknowledgement from the external device. However Ficco teaches teach a system wherein the device for wirelessly transmitting an acknowledgement signal to

the portable computing device (0123). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the above teaching of Ficco with Erekson system, in order to provide satisfaction and comfort to the user

Regarding **claims 38, 48, 60**, Erekson teaches a Personal Digital Assistant /system/machine readable medium that control external devices (col 5 lines 20-31, lines 37-41). Erekson fails to teach a device wherein the signal received via the wireless communications device includes status information from the external device. Ficco teaches teach a system wherein the signal received via the wireless communications device includes status information from the external device (0112). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the above teaching of Ficco with Erekson system, in order to provide suitable command instructions to secure of turn various device on certain time.

Regarding **claims 39, 50, 58, 61**, Erekson teaches a computing device/machine readable medium/method wherein the computing device is further configured to: wirelessly transmit via the wireless communication device, at a second time, a second control signal to cause the **external** device to perform a second action (col 5 lines 37-50, col 6 lines 37-46). However, Erekson fails to teach a device responsive to time data. However, Ficco teaches response to time data 0109, 0112, 0116, 0123, 0124, 0131). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to combine the above teaching of Ficco with Erekson system, in order to provide suitable command instructions to secure or turn various device on certain time.

Regarding **claims 40, 51, 62**, Erekson teaches a system/method wherein the action is activating the **external** device deactivating the external device or adjusting a setting of the **external** device (col 6 lines 57-65).

Regarding **claims 41, 52, 63**, Erekson teaches a computing device/method wherein the computing device is configured to according claim 35.

Regarding **claims 42, 53, 64**, Erekson teaches a computing device/method wherein the computing device is configured to according to claim 35.

Regarding **claims 45, 56, 67**, Erekson fails teach a computing device/method wherein the computing device is configured to:

instruction for alarming before wirelessly transmitting the control signal; and permit a user to cancel the wireless transmitting of the control signal before the control signal is wirelessly transmitted after the alarming.

However Ficco teaches a computing device wherein the computing device is configured to:

Instruction for alarming before wirelessly transmitting the control signal; and

permit a user to cancel the wireless transmitting of the control signal before the control signal is wirelessly transmitted after the alarming (0123). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the above teaching of Ficco with Erekson, in order to allow the user monitoring and control of selected conditions and functions.

Regarding **claim 47**, Erekson teaches the machine readable medium further comprising instructions for receiving a signal from the external device in response to the receiving the control signal from external the computing device (col 6 lines 5-20).

3. **Claims 43-44, 54-55, 65-66**, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erekson (US Patent 6,622,018) in view of Ficco et al. (US Patent Number 2002/0035404 A1) and page et al. (US Patent 6,801,787) in further view of Mahany et al. (US Patent Number 5,657,317).

Regarding **claims 43, 65**, Erekson modified by Ficco and page fails to teach a machine-readable medium system comprising: a mobile phone for extending the communication distance between the portable computing external device and the device (see figure 1b, col 11 lines 40-59). However Mahany a mobile phone for extending the communication distance between the portable computing device and the device (see figure 1b, col 11 lines 40-59). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the above teaching of Mahany with Erekson

modified by Ficco and page, in order for the mobile user to be able to move in to the vicinity of the any other base station, and roam to any coverage area without losing the connection.

Regarding **claim 54**, Erikson modified by Ficco and page fails to teach a machine-readable medium system comprising: instructions for permitting a user to enter a regular time period for wirelessly retransmitting the control signal to cause the external device to perform the first action (see figure 1b, col 11 lines 40-59). However Mahany (see figure 1b, col 11 lines 40-59). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the above teaching of Mahany with Erikson modified by Ficco modified by page, in order for the mobile user to be able to move in to the vicinity of the any other base station, and roam to any coverage area without losing the connection.

Regarding **claims 44, 55, 66**, Erikson modified by Ficco does not specifically mention that the system/method comprises: a relay for wirelessly extending the communication range between the portable computing device and the external device. However Mahany teaches a system comprises: a relay (35, 36) for wirelessly extending the communication range between the portable computing device and the device (see figure 1b, col 11 lines 40-59). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the above teaching of Mahany with Erikson modified by Ficco and page, in order, for the mobile user to be able to move in

to the vicinity of the any other base station, and roam to any coverage area without losing the connection.

***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 35-67, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

**Conclusion**

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. **Any responses to this action should be mailed to:**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naghmeh Mehrpour whose telephone number is 571-272-7913. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00- 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold be reached (571) 272-7905.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NM

November 27, 2006

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "NM" followed by a stylized surname.